Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

Project Name: Evans Creek Culvert Replacement Project Sponsor: Shawn Simpson		5. Date: <u>07/11/01</u>		
Sponsors Phone # : (541)6	18-2460			
Sponsor's E-mail: shawn_si	impson@or.blm.gov			
Project Location (attach project	ct area map)			
a. 4 th Field Watershed Na	me and HUC #(if known):	Middle Rogue		
		17100308		
b 5th Field Watershed Na	me and HUC #(if known):	Evans Creek		
0. 0 11010 // 0.00151100 1.00		1710030803		
		1,10050005		
c. Legal Location:				
Township 34S	Range 3W	Section(s) 3, 14, 15, 23		
Township 33S	Range 3W	Section(s) <u>3, 5, 21, 32, 34</u>		
Township 35S	Range 3W	Section(s) <u>7, 17</u>		
Township 32S		Section(s) <u>31</u>		
Township 33S		Section(s) <u>1</u>		
Township	Range	Section(s)		
Township		Section(s)		
Township	_	Section(s)		
Township	Range	Section(s)		
d DIM District Madford	a D	J. M. Dagaywaa, Awaa Putta Falla		
f National Forest	e. b	LM Resource Area <u>Butte Falls</u> Forest Service District		
	ands involved? \square Yes \boxtimes			
n. State / Private / Other I	ands involved? \(\simeq \) Yes \(\simeq \)	NO		
	1011			
tatement of Project Goals	•			
		ting stream crossing structures that pose		
tantial risk to downstream cha	innels and fisheries resources	•		
Duningt Descriptions (D.)				
Project Description: (Provi				
ace undersized culverts with o	ones that have sufficient capacitations	city to pass high flows and associated debris.		
Coordination of this proje	not with other related pro-	icat(s) on adjacent lands?		
Coordination of this proje	ct with other related pro	ject(s) on adjacent lands:		
□ Yes ⊠ No	If yes, then describe.			
- 103 - NO	ii yes, men describe.			

June 07, 2001

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

12.	How d	loes proposed project meet purposes of the	Legisla	ation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]				
	\boxtimes	Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure	e. [Sec. 2	(b)]				
	☐ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]							
	Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]							
	☒	Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]						
13.	Project	t Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]						
		Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]		Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]				
		Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]		Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]				
		Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify):		[Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]				
		Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]		Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]				
		Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]		Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]				
		Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]		Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]				
		Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]						
		Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:						
	c. N e. N	Vo. Structures: 20 d. 1	cation p	iles: ed People Reached (for environmental projects):				
15.	Duratio	on of Project and Estimated Completion Da	ite [Sec. 2	203(b)(2)]: Two Months 2002				
16.	Target	Species Benefitted: (if applicable) Coho, Steel	lhead, C	Cutthroat trout				
2(b)(Coopwild wild 18. This nature	3)] peratively life habit How is project ral hydro	ill cooperative relationships among people of designing a transportation system requiring less result, and opportunities for dispersed recreation active this project in the best public interest? [Section is in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the design of the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest because it is expectable of the project in the best public interest in the best public intere	maintena ities. . 203(b)(7) ted to in proving	Identify benefits to communities? Inprove water quality and restore conditions for salmon and trout				

June 07, 2001 2

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

20. Status of Project Planning

a. NEPA Complete:			Yes	\boxtimes	No		
b. If No, give est. date of completion: _Jan. 2002							
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:			Yes		No		Not Applicable
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:			Yes		No		Not Applicable
e. Survey & Manage Complete:			Yes		No		Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained:			Yes		No		Not Applicable
g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:			Yes		No		Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:			Yes		No		Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed:			Yes	\boxtimes	No		11
J							
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish a State Historic Preservation Officer	and V	Vildlife,	COE	= Arı	my Co	rps c	of Engineers, SHPO =
21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment							
□ Contract		Fede	ral W	orkí	orce		
□ County Workforce		Volu	nteers	,			
☐ Other (specify):							
\ 1							
22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Mat	eria	ls? (Se	ec. 204	(e)(3))		
□ Yes ⊠ No							
23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]							
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 160,0	00						
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? ☐ Yes		No	If yes	s, the	en dis	play	by fiscal year
c. FY02 Request: \$ <u>160,000</u> f. FY05 Request: \$							
d. FY03 Request: \$	g. F	Y06 R	eques	t: \$			
e. FY04 Request: \$							

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering	\$10,000			
28. Contract Preparation	\$5,000			

June 07, 2001 3

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
29. Contract Administration	\$5,000			
30. Contract Cost		\$160,000		
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring	\$5,000			
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal				
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)				
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$25,000	\$160,000	\$	\$

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6)

a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Aquatic habitat inventories which measure the amount of fine sediment in fish habitat. BLM hydrology and fisheries personnel in conjunction with ODFW biologists.

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?
 - Contractor would be required to provide answers about local employment. Training opportunities exist for development of road engineering skills. BFRA Lead Engineer or designate will be responsible for monitoring success of providing employment/training opportunities.
- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? Products would not be removed with this project.

June 07, 2001 4

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

d.	Identify to	total funding needed to carry o	out specified monitoring ta	asks (Table 1, Item 33)
	Amount: _	\$5,000		

June 07, 2001 5